Approved For Release 2003/06/04 CIA-RDP80B01676R0006900100

Executive Registry

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

10-5513

ASSISTANT SECRETARY

This document consists of 1 page Number 1 of 3 copies Series A

SECRET

September 20, 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: CIA - Mr. Bissell

I attach herewith a copy of the translation of a note which the Department of State today received from the Soviet Ambassador regarding the President's July 21 proposal on disarmament.

To.w.w.

IO - David W. Wainhouse
Acting Assistant Secretary
for International Organization
Affairs.

Attachments

as stated.

State Dept. review completed

Approved Fen Rolpase 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

This document consists of 11 pages mamber 9 of 10 copies, Feries &

THANSLATION

MEMLIN, MEMORIA

C

X

September 19, 1955

Dear Mr. President:

I feel I must sinearely and frankly eschange opinions with you on a subject which at the present time has acquired particular importance. I have in mind the question which is being discussed now by our representatives in the Jubeconsittes of the IN Disarrament Consistion.

In the course of our memorable meetings in Genera we agreed to work jointly for elaboration of an acceptable system of disarrament. When we approved directives to our Minister of Yorkign Affairs on this score I thought a great deal had been accomplished. Now the representatives of our countries guided by these directives and taking into account in their work the epinions and proposals put forth by the heads of the four Governments in Senses can and must achieve definite progress.

1 and

His Emellency Designs . Sisenbower,

The President of the Inited States of America,

Mentagton, D. C. (EXECUTIVE REGIST Y THE

Approved For

I and my colleagues thought that even at the very beginning of their work our representations would be able to reach general agressent on those basic quartiens on which our vieweints either coincided or had already appreciably appreciable each other. I have in aind first of all the question of the levels of armed forces of the five Crest speers, the question of dates for introducing into force the probibition of atomic weapons, and the question of international central. In this manner there would be created a solid foundation for further work during which it would be possible to sake ore precise all the details of the necessary agreements concerning the working out of an acceptable areter of di urmament.

Sowever, the first weaks of the work of the Subcommittee so for have not yet produced those regults for which you and I were fully entitled to hope, and I must frankly ear that the delay is opcasioned to a considerable degree to the fact that the members of the Subconsistes so far do not know the position of the representative of the United States with regard to those provisions which we had all the grounds to consider as agreed. As is known, the representative of the United States completely put aside the questions of reduction of the aread forces, of the armement and prohibition of atomic weapone, having supressed the

desire

desire to discuss first of all and mainly your proposal conserming the exphange of military information between the USS and the USA as well as of the sutual exchange of sorial photography of the territories of both countries. In this manner the impression is laft that the entire problem of disarrangent is being confined by him to those proposals.

I think to gut the question in this menner would not estimaty the aspirations of peoples, even though I fully recognise the importance of the proposals introduced by you in Camera.

However, since I and my colleagues have received the aboveagationed impression, I consider it my duty once core to share with you, esteemed Mr. President, certain primary considerations.

We Seel that the main problem for us to to use further efforte to look for such ways which would parmit us to move the problem of disarmament away from dead conter, which problem has vital importance for the peoples of the USSE and the USA as well as of peoples of the entire world.

In connection with this allow me to touch upon the proposals put forward by you in Jensey. We regard these proposals as testimony of your sincore desire to find a way to settle the imorbant problem of the international control and inspection and to contribute personally to general efforts for the normalisation of international relations.

Spen our

MENS

Open our return from Geneva we with all carefulness studied your proposal of July 21 which was introduced on August 31 by Mr. Stassen into the discrement Subcommittee. In the course of this study several questions have arisen about which I would like to express to you my thoughts.

First of all, about the sutual exchange by the United States of America and the Soviet Union of informations operating their areas forces and arguments.

In principle, we have no objection to this proposal. I think that at a definite state the embedge of such information between states is necessary. It would be better, however, if such information concerning armaments were submitted by all states, and not only by the US and the USSR, to the interactional organ of control and inspection, concerning the creation of which we should reach an agreement. In order to avoid elementendings, it is self-evident that informationen all kinds of armaments, conventional as well as muchan, must be submitted in order to evoid elementations. If these considerations are valid, we should carefully discuss exactly when this full information on agreements of states should be presented and first of all information concerning the armaments of great powers.

It is self-evident that the submission of the above-mentioned information to an international control open would become significant only if agreement is achieved on the reduction of armaments

Approved For Release 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

SCHAT

and on taking measures for the probabilition of a to is weapons.

international control organ which would esticity the requirements of the problems of discrement would be considered indispartably united with decisions for putting into affect a plan for gradual discrement. At the same time it is necessary to keep might of the fact that achievement of a really valuable enchange of the military information will become the same effective to the degree that matual trust among states in strangthened.

Now I would also like to express my opinion about the problem of serial photography.

I do not doubt that when you introduced your proposal for photographing from the air the territories of our two countries, you were guided by a legitimate desire to exects confidence that neither of our two countries would be subjected to attack by the other.

national conditions both our countries are not acting singly.

The United States of america, as is known, heads all military groupings which exist in the est and in the dast, and what is more their smed forces are stationed not only on American territory, they are also stationed in England, West Germany, Italy, Trance, Spain, North Sprice, Greece, Turkey, in several quantries of the Hear and Middle East, in Japan, on Taiwas, in the Philippines, etc.

Approved For Release 2003/06/02 RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

The Phillipines, etc.

To this should be saided the fact that the armed forces of several at tes are organically connected with the military forces of the United States through inclusion under a single commund.

Under these conditions, the eviet Union on its side has united militarily with new rel ablied states.

It is impossible not to see that the proposal introduced by you can betely emits from consideration armed forces and military installations which are outside the area of the United States and the Soviet Union.

And yet it is perfectly self-evident that serial photographing should also be extended to all armed forces and silitary installations located on the territories of those other states.

This presents an entirely now problems Would the governments of such states permit their severeign territory to be photographed from the air by foreign aircraft?

All this shows that the problem of serial photography is not the question which under the present conditions would be conducted to the effective progress towards insuring security of states and successful accomplishment of disarmement.

This corclasion is suggested by the fact that your proposal, unfortunately does not mention the necessity for reduction of armaments and prohibition of atomic weapons.

It is

A COLLAR

1 C T

-7-

It is therefore natural that people should ask more and more often what the proposal for serial photography and the collecting of such information would really do to the arms rese. If such a proposal does not promote the ending of the arms race, then it means that it does not remove the threat of a new war. It does not lighten the burden which the peoples are bearing in connection with this arms race. Would such a proposal satisfy the expectabless of our peoples and that of all countries?

Finally, it is impossible not to step and think about what would happen if we econgy ourselves with the questions of market photography and the exchange of military information without taking effective measures for reduction of assuments and prohibition of stemic weapons.

I have apprehensions which I cannot help but share with you. Would not such a situation lead to the weakening of vigilance towards the still existing threat of violation of the passe generated by the arms race?

ment on important aspects of the disarrament problem. I would like to call your attention to the fact that on very substantial aspects of this problem our position has become so close to each other's that we should be able to reach a definite agreement.

Let us take such a question as the establishment of levels of armed forces for the Great Forces.

It is

PART

It is generally recognised than this is a question of great importance. Originally, the idea of establishing levels to much armed forces of the big live should be reduced, as is known, was put forth by your Government together with the Governments of Great Britain and France in 1952. In the interest of achieving general agreement on this matter maich is so important for the problem of disarmoment, we decided to along this joint propossil of C.J., Bayland, and France, as a basis for discussion. Consequently we have a common point of view on this question. It is very important for us to arrive at agreement on this point.

On the question of atomic mapone, we must remember that at the present, when the greatest armies of the world have at their disposal much means of mass destruction as about and hydrogen weapone, it is impossible, of course, to talk about disarmement sithout touching on this important subject. Therefore, we have always attached paramount importance to the problem of probabilition of stonic suspens. In the discussion of this problem, one of the substantial subjects of disagreement was the question of dates when the prohibition against the use of atomic weapons would go into force. In our desire to bring the eppeaing positions closer and to thereby facilitate and expedite the schievement of agreement on this subject, we agreed to accept the dates for putting into force the problition on the use of stonic sompone which were proposed by the representatives of Sagland and France in the

SCUIT

in the Subsecutives of the UN Germinator on Disarmoment in London in April 1955.

I think you will agree that the proposal concerning the stage at which probabilition against the use of atomic weapone would come into force, as proposed by implant and France, and appealed by the Seviet Union, satisfies our common interests.

It would be desirable-and I think completely feasible-to reach an agreement also on this question.

It also some expedient for we to reach agreement at this time on putting into effect several measures designed to prevent maiden attack by one state on another. We feel that this measure would be in accord with the interests of maintaining posses and security of mations and in this respect it would be possible to reach agreement also concerning the form of control suitable to the above-mentioned problem.

You, Nr. Freshient, as a military men, knew from your on experience that the modern was requires drawing into military action armies of many millions and an enermode quantity of technical combat equipment. In this connection great importance has now been acquired by the definite locations where compentention of large military groups can take place and whose armoments would include all take technical combat equipment. The system of control proposed by US namely the creation of control posts in large ports, at railroad junctions, on automobile highways and at airfields, is designed to prevent dangerous concentrations

Approved For Release 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

SEC . I

-10-

and combat equipment on large scale and thereby remove the consistility of sudden actack by one country against another. Establishments of such posts would be an important step towards relaxation of intermedical tenden and the establishment of trust mone states.

In my opinion our proposal concerning control posts has the advantage that it provides a definite guarantee against a melden attack by one state against another.

I think you will agree that the proposals introduced by us concerning levels of armed forces, the dates for coming into offect of the probibition of muclear scapens and for the sutablishment of control posts can promote the reduction of tension in the intermetional relations and strongthening of peace. I do not see, therefore, any reasons sky we couldn't arrange to reach agreement on these questions. Such joint declaiens of the four Powers would have trump ious importance because they would put into the hearts of millions of people the assurance that the discrement is fully realizable and that real steps are being taken in this direction. An agreement on these questions would open the way towards solution of other questions which excern the problem of distantants. A social encourage the strongthening of that atmosphere of cooperation and untual understanding which we imitiated at Genove, and it would create favorable conditions to pat into practice

Approved For Release 2003/06/04-A-RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

Approved For lease 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP80B0167 000600010037-7

i Out

حلاه

practice a proader program of discrement and control over this discrement.

In presenting ideas to you, Mr. President, I as impaired by the sincere desire to achieve through a fresh enchange of epinions on the problem of discussment better smouth understanding which may facilitate reaching agreed decisions on this most important problem.

In an much as the solution of thems questions depends mainly on the Four Great Powers who participated in the Senera Conference, I have taken the liberty of semiing copies of this letter to Mr. Eden and Mr. Faure and hope that you will not missusperstand this action.

I hope som to receive your ideas on the questions transhed upon in this letter.

With sinears respect,

H. Bulgarin

Approved r Release 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP80B0176R000600010037-7

7-3956

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 25, 1955

Mr. Richard M. Bissell, Jr. Central Intelligence Agency 2430 E Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Dick:

I am pleased to learn from Mr. Dulles that you have been named as the senior representative of the Central Intelligence Agency on the Special Committee on Disarmament Problems that the President has directed that I organize. I look forward to working with you on these problems.

Sincerely yours,

Harold E. Stassen

Disarmaneel

Approved For Release 2003/06/04: CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010037-7

STAT .

	DATE
TRANS	SMITTAL SLIP 16 July 587
то:	FR
ROOM NO.	BUILDING
REMARKS:	
9	I you do not want
	1. I some Leley please
	to the for
سلناز	1 / fatuitino
De	cording + descurre,
,	J Dungestro
	Record To Jessey
FROM	
ROOM N	O. BUILDING EXTENSION
FORM NO 1 FEB 55	241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 GPO: 1957—O-439445 (47)